IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS Boston Division

JOSEPH J. DIBENEDETTO 27 Western Avenue Wakefield, MA 01880 04 10570 RCI

MAGISTRATE JUDGE DELY

Plaintiff

VS.

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION 253 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210

Defendant

JURY TRIAL DEMA

SUMMONS ISSUED

WAIVER FORM.
MCF ISSUED...

BY DPTY, CLK TO.M

CIVIL ACTION

NO.

- 1. The Plaintiff, Joseph DiBenedetto, is a competent adult individual residing at 27 Western Avenue, Wakefield, Massachusetts 01880.
- 2. The Defendant, National Railroad Passenger Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the District of Columbia, doing business at and whose address for service of process is 253 Summer Street, Boston, Massachusetts O2210.
- 3. This suit is brought pursuant to an Act of Congress known as the Federal Employers' Liability Act (F.E.L.A.), 45 U.S.C. §§51-60; the Federal Safety Appliance Acts, 45 U.S.C.§§1-16; and the Boiler Inspection Acts, 45 U.S.C.§§22-34.
- 4. At all times material hereto, the Defendant, National Railroad Passenger Corporation, was engaged in interstate commerce as a common carrier by railroad operating a line and system of railroads in the State of Massachusetts and other states of the United States.

1

- 5. At the time and place hereinafter mentioned, the acts of omission and commission causing injuries to the Plaintiff was done by the Defendant, its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees acting in the course and scope of their employment with and under the direct and exclusive control of the Defendant.
- 6. At the time and place hereinafter mentioned, the Plaintiff was employed by Defendant railroad and was acting in the scope of his employment by Defendant and was engaged in the furtherance of interstate commerce within the meaning of the F.E.L.A.
- 7. All the property, equipment and operations involved in this occurrence hereinafter referred to were owned and/or under the direct and exclusive control of the Defendant, its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees.
- 8. The Plaintiff has been employed by the Defendant from July 14, 1976 through and including the present as a carman, and, while working within the scope of his employment in and around Boston, Massachusetts, was exposed to occupational risk factors for carpal tunnel syndrome, including but not limited to repetition, force, vibration and awkward wrist posture.
- 9. Plaintiff's injuries were caused in whole or in part by the negligence, carelessness and recklessness of the Defendant and its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, acting within the scope of their employment, which negligence consisted of the following:
 - a) failure to provide the plaintiff with a safe place to work as required by the Federal Employers' Liability Act, 45 U.S.C. §§51-60; the Federal Safety Appliance 45 U.S.C. §§1-16; and the Boiler Inspection Acts, 45 U.S.C. §§22-34.

- b) failure to provide a timely and adequate ergonomic program designed to prevent occupational carpal tunnel syndrome;
- c) failure to comply with safety and operating rules and regulations of the Defendant;
- d) forcing the Plaintiff to work under hurried and/or awkward conditions;
- e) negligence of the Defendant's agents, servants, workmen and/or employees; and
- f) negligence at law; and
- g) otherwise failing to exercise due and adequate care under the circumstances including, but not limited to, a lack of adequate manpower.
- 10. As a direct result of the Defendant's negligence, through its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, the Plaintiff suffered occupational carpal tunnel syndrome.
- 11. The Plaintiff was diagnosed with occupational carpal tunnel syndrome which required surgery.
- 12. As a direct result of the Defendant's negligence, through its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, the Plaintiff has been unable to attend to his usual duties and occupations, all of which caused substantial financial loss and all of which may and probably will continue in the future.
- 13. As a direct result of the Defendant's negligence, through its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, the Plaintiff has been and may continue to be required to receive and undergo medical treatment and medical care, including surgery, and has incurred

reasonable and necessary medical expenses, all of which may and probably will continue in the future.

- 14. As a direct result of the Defendant's negligence, through its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, the Plaintiff has sustained pain, suffering, inconvenience, stress and a loss of enjoyment of life and may continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time in the future.
- 15. The Defendant has a duty to provide a reasonably safe place to work. It had a non-delegable duty to insure that the Plaintiff had adequate qualified assistance to perform the functions of his work without unnecessary risk of injury to himself. The Defendant has a duty to provide a sufficient number of employees to perform assigned work, and its failure to provide adequate assistance can be a breach of its duty to provide a safe place for the Plaintiff to work, and will entitle the Plaintiff to a recovery against the Defendant if any such failure was a cause, in whole or in part, of the injuries claimed by the Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants in an amount in excess of ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS, (\$150,000.00).

Dated: 3/19/04

HANNON & JOYCE

THOMASI, JOYGE, MI, ESQUIRE

The Public Ledger Building - Suite 1000

150 S. Independence Mall West

Philadelphia, PA 19106

(888) 222-3352

Attorney for Plaintiff

LAWSON & WEITZEN, LLP

Dated:

MICHAEL J. MCDEVITT, BBO #564720

88 Black Falcon Avenue, Suite 345

Boston, MA 02210

(617) 439-4990

Local Counsel for Plaintiff

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Joseph J. DiBenedetto 27 Western Avenue Wakefield, MA 01880 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Middlesex (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS National Railroad Passenger Corporation 253 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210 County of Residence of First Listed (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) HANNON & JOYCE The Public Ledger Bldg Ste. 1000 150 S. Independence Mall West Philadelphia, PA 19106 (215) 446-4460 Attempted for Plaintiff LAWSON & WEITZEN LLP 88 Black Falcon Avenue Suite 345 Boston, MA 02210 (617) 439-4990 Local Counsel for Plaintiff				Attorneys (If Kno	own)	-		
Attorney for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	iversity Cases Only) BEF DEF n of This State 1				
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant		Citiz	en of Another State 🛚		Another State			
W. NATURE OF SUM	, in the second			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 5 Foreign Country				
CONTRACT		RTS	FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of ☐ 14dEMMetteare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Linbility 320 Assa ult, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Linbility 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 M dor V chicle 355 M otor V chicle	PERSONAL INJU: 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury— Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damag	62 62 62 63 64 65 66 67 69 69 69 69 69 69	510 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Drug 525 Drug Related S cizure of Property 21 USC 530 Liquor Laws 540 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs. 560 Occupational Safety/Health 590 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Sundards	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reap portionment □ 410 Antifust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricu tural Acts		
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 385 Property Damag Product Liability	e i	Act 720 Labor/M gmt. Relations	B 862 Black Lung (923)	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETIT	IONS	730 Labor/M gmt.Reporting	B64 SSID Title XVI	■ 895 Freedom of Information Act ■ 900 Appeal of Fee Determines Bullal Access to		
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Force losure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort P roduct Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	U 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	Sontence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 De ath Penalty 540 Mandamus & O 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condito	ther	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Läigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAXSUITS			
V. ORIGIN	tate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reo	anoth estated or 5 (speci pened	Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI		ute under which you are nal statutes unless diversit		te brief statement of cause.				
Federal Empl	oyers Liabilit	y Act 45 U.						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.	S IS A CLASS ACTI P. 23	ON D	EMAND\$ 150,00	OO CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	/ if demanded in complaint: : 12 Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDG E		7	DOCKET NUMBER			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF A	TORNEY	AF RECORP				
RECEIPT #	AMOUN	APPLYING IFP) JUDGE_	MAG, JU	DGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Title of case (na Passenge	name of first party on each side only) <u>Joseph J. DiBenedetoo vs. National Railroad</u> er Corporation	
Category in whi	hich the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See	_
local rule 40.1(a		
i.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.	
<u> </u>	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121	
<u>X</u>	740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. for patent, trademark or copyright cases 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.	
L. IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660,	
[] v.	690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. 150, 152, 153.	
Title and numbe this district plea	er, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in ase indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.	
Has a prior action	ion between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?	
Does the compla 28 USC §2403)	YES NO \overline{X} laint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See	
If so, is the U.S.A	YES NO X A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X	
Is this case requ	uired to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284? YES NO X	
Do <u>all</u> of the part Massachusetts (40.1(d)).	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule	
Α.	YES X NO [] If yes, in which division do_all of the non-governmental parties reside?	
	Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division	
В.	If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?	
	Eastern Division Central Division Western Division	
If filing a Notice o yes, submit a ser	of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court?(If eparate sheet identifying the motions)	
ASE TYPE OR PRINT	YES NO	
	•	
	nomas J. Joyce, III	
ORNEY'S NAME The	iomas J. Joyce, III ic Ledger Bldg Ste. 1000 150 S. Independence Mall W. Philadelphia	a 1: